Case 2:21-cv-03200 CFVIL DOCUMENT SHF HEIGH 07/19/21 Page 1 of 14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF		~		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Michelle John	son-Iones		DEFENDANTS Temple University Hospital			
4117 Decatur			3401 N. Broad Street			
(b) County of Residence	PA 19136 of First Listed Plaintiff _	Philadelphia	County of Residence	PA 19140 e of First Listed Defendant _	Philadelphia	
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)	NOTE: IN LAND O	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O		
			THE TRAC	CONDEMNATION CASES, USE T T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe, Law Office of Eric	r)	Attorneys (If Known	n)		
			DA 10102			
	500 JFK Blvd, Suite	1240, Philadelphi	a PA 19102			
Tel: 267-546-013		1_				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)			Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only	PTF DEF	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Pr		
				of Business In T	his State	
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity	· (D .: L III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and I		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	another State	
			ے ۔	3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV NATURE OF CHI	r		Foreign Country	CI: 1.1 C N	that be the	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) PRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of S BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	— · · ·	376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/		DD O DEDGEV DV CVICO	400 State Reapportionment	
L 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		830 Patent	450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability		New Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y LABOR	880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692)	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	Act	GOGLAL GEGLIDATIV	485 Telephone Consumer	
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	720 Labor/Management Relations	861 HIA (1395ff)	Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	740 Railway Labor Act	862 Black Lung (923)	850 Securities/Commodities/	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	751 Family and Medical	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	791 Employee Retirement	803 KSI (403(g))	893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure	
290 All Other Real Property	X 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of	
	Employment	Other:	462 Naturalization Application	on	Agency Decision	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	r 465 Other Immigration Actions		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition	Actions		State Statutes	
		560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)	Commement			<u> </u>	
		Remanded from	4 Reinstated or 5 Trans	ferred from 6 Multidistri	ict 8 Multidistrict	
		Appellate Court		ner District Litigation ify) Transfer	Litigation - Direct File	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional st	tatutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 42 U.S.C.A. § Brief description of ca					
		h Disabilities Act				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		150,000			
	E(C)		· ·	00111 221111111	A • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
VIII. RELATED CAST	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 7/19/2021		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD	RiO		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				•		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI)GE	
RECEILIT AI	MOUNI	ALL L I INO II P	JODGE	MAG. JUI	AUL .	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Nu	mber	E-Mail Address		
267-546-0131	215-944-6	5124	GrahamB@ericshore.com		
Date	Attorney	-at-law	Attorney for		
7/19/2021	Graham F	. Baird	Michelle Johnson-Jones		
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do r	not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(X)	
(e) Special Management – Car commonly referred to as conthe court. (See reverse side management cases.)	omplex and tl	hat need spe	ecial or intense management by	()	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2					
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				()	
SELECT ONE OF THE FOI	LLOWING (CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve a side of this form.) In the evidesignation, that defendant shall	Management a copy on all coent that a defall, with its fies, a Case Ma	t Track Des lefendants. fendant doe rst appearan anagement	ay Reduction Plan of this court, courignation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the s not agree with the plaintiff regardince, submit to the clerk of court and so Track Designation Form specifying thigned.	time of reverse ng saic erve or	
	•	:	NO.		
Michelle Johnson-Jo v. Temple University Ho		: : :			
		:	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 4117 Decatur Street, Philadelphia, PA 19136							
Address of Defendant: 3401 N. Broad Street, Philadelphia, PA 19140							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 3401 N.							
•							
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:							
Case Number: Judge	e: Date Terminated:						
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any o	Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?							
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No X pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?							
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a pumbered case pending or within one year previously te 							
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social case filed by the same individual?	security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No X						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case \square is / \square this court except as noted above.	is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in						
DATE:	92092						
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)						
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)							
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Othe 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	2. Airplane Personal Injury3. Assault, Defamation						
 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 	4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Plane preside)						
6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability						
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases						
☐ 10. Social Security Review Cases ☐ 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	(Please specify):						
(The effect of this c	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION rertification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)						
I, Graham F. Baird, counsel of	f record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest	to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case st and costs:						
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.							
DATE:	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff 92692 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)						
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been c							

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHELLE JOHNSON-JONES :

4117 Decatur Street

Philadelphia, PA 19136

JURY DEMANDED

Plaintiff,

:

v. :

No.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL

3401 N Broad St

Philadelphia, PA 19140

.

Defendant

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

And now Plaintiff, Michelle Johnson-Jones, by and through her undersigned counsel, files this Complaint alleging that her rights, pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Family and Medical Leave Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act have been violated and avers as follows:

I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, Michelle Johnson-Jones (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing at the above address.
- 2. Defendant, Temple University Hospital (hereinafter "Defendant"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Pennsylvania, with a principle place of business at the above address.
- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

- 4. Plaintiff exhausted her administrative remedies with the City of Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations. (See Exhibit "A," a true and correct copy of a dismissal and notice of rights issued by the City of Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations.)
- 5. This action is instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities and applicable federal and state law.
 - 6. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 7. Supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims is conferred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendant conducts business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff was working for Defendant in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania at the time of the illegal actions by Defendant as set forth herein.

II. Administrative Remedies

- 9. On or about August 27, 2019, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the City of Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations ("PCHR"), which was docketed as PCHR Charge No. 2019-08-262090, alleging discrimination from Defendant, and dual filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 10. Plaintiff has been advised of her individual right to bring a civil action by receiving a Notice of Rights from the PHRC, dated December 18, 2020.
- 11. Plaintiff has exhausted the administrative remedies available to her, and all necessary and appropriate administrative prerequisites to the filing of this Complaint have occurred and been satisfied.

III. Operative Facts.

- 12. Defendant hired Plaintiff on December 14, 2015 as a Community Health Worker.
- 13. Plaintiff performed all duties asked of her without any complaints or issues.
- 14. Plaintiff is disabled and suffers from severe asthma.
- 15. Plaintiff has a disabled son.
- 16. Plaintiff was on intermittent Family and Medical Leave to care for her disabled son.
- 17. While working for Defendant, Plaintiff complained on multiple occasions about unsanitary working conditions.
 - 18. Plaintiff suffered from asthma attacks due to unsanitary working conditions.
- 19. In January of 2019, Plaintiff was taken out of work for five days by her physician due to complications with her asthma.
- 20. Plaintiff requested a leave of absence from January 29, 2019 through February 2, 2019 that was denied by Defendant.
- 21. When Plaintiff returned to work, she received a write-up from her manager Jeffrey Slocum for missing work.
- 22. On July 25, 2019, Plaintiff began having pains in her back and informed her manager, Theresa David that she had to leave to go to the doctor.
- 23. Due to the pain in her back the urologist made an appointment for July 26, 2019, to have an ultrasound of her kidneys.
- 24. Plaintiff called Defendant and informed them that she would not be able to come in on July 26, 2019.

25. When Plaintiff returned back to work on July 29, 2019, she was informed that she was terminated because she accumulated too many negative attendance points due to calling out on July 25, 2019.

IV. Causes of Action.

COUNT I TITLE I CLAIM--AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT DISCRIMINATION (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq)

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 27. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq, an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 28. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq,.
- 29. Defendant is an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..
- 30. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff had a qualified disability, as described above.
- 31. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant violated the ADA by failing to engage her in the interactive process and provide her with reasonable accommodations for her disabilities.
- 32. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, was taken as a result of her disability and constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq..

- 33. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 34. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
 - 35. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq
 - 36. Plaintiff demands attorneys' fees and court costs.

COUNT II TITLE I CLAIM--AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE (42 U.S.C.A. § 12101 et seq)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 38. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on a disability.
- 39. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 40. Defendant is an "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 41. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff has a qualified disability, as described above.

- 42. Defendant's conduct in refusing to provide Plaintiff with reasonable accommodations for her disability after receiving notice of said disability constitutes a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq.
- 43. Defendant failed to engage in a meaningful back and forth discussion after Plaintiff again told Defendant she needed medical accommodations.
 - 44. Defendant failed to reasonably accommodate Plaintiff's disability.
- 45. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant violated the ADA by failing to engage her in the interactive process and provide her with reasonable accommodations for her disabilities.
- 46. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, and consequential damages.
- 47. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 48. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., Plaintiff demands attorneys' fees and court costs.

COUNT III VIOLATION OF FMLA (29 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.)

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-48 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 50. As set forth above, Plaintiff was entitled to and qualified for medical leave pursuant to the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq.
- 51. Defendant's motivation in terminating Plaintiff's employment was based, in part, upon her taking permissible FMLA leave.

- 52. Defendant terminated Plaintiff as a result of her utilizing intermittent FMLA leave.
- 53. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of her earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 54. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive and/or liquidated damages.
- 55. Pursuant to the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq Plaintiff demands attorneys' fees and court costs.

COUNT IV PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT 43 Pa.C.S.A. §951, et seq. (Plaintiff v. Defendants)

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-55 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 57. As set forth above, Plaintiff is a member of a protected class.
- 58. Defendant failed to accommodate or otherwise engage in a meaningful back and forth towards the development of a reasonable accommodation.
 - 59. Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 60. As set forth above, a motivating factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment is Plaintiff's disability.

- 61. Plaintiff suffered disparate treatment in the manner in which she was terminated as compared to similarly situated able-bodied employees, who received more favorable treatment by Defendant.
- 62. As such, Defendant violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 Pa.C.S.A. §951, et seq.
- 63. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.
- 64. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
 - 65. Plaintiff demands attorneys' fees and court costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Michelle Johnson-Jones, demands judgment in her favor and against Defendant, Temple University, in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with:

- A. Compensatory damages, including injury to reputation, mental and emotional distress, pain and suffering
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys' fees and costs of suit;
- D. Interest, delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.

GRAHAM BAIRD, ESQUIRE

Two Penn Center, Suite 1240 1500 John F. Kennedy Boulevard

Philadelphia, PA 19102 Tel.: 267-546-0131 Fax: (215) 944-6124

Email: GrahamB@ericshore.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, Michelle Johnson-Jones

Date: 7/19/2021

EXH. A



PHILADELPHIA COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To:

Scott K. Johnson, Esquire Law Offices of Eric A. Shore 2 Penn Center, Suite 1240 1500 JFK Blvd Philadelphia, PA 19102 Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations 601 Walnut Street, Suite 300 South Philadelphia, PA 19106

215-686-4670 (p) 215-686-4684(f)

PCHR Complaint No.: 2019-08-26-2090

Date of Commission Decision: 12/18/2020

Re: Michelle Johnson-Jones v. Temple University Hospital

The Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations is closing its file on this complaint for the following reasons
Charge Not Substantiated – The PCHR is unable to conclude that the information obtained through our investigation establishes a violation of the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance. This does not certify that the Respondent is in compliance with the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this complaint.
Failure to Cooperate – After 30 days in which to respond, the Complainant failed to provide information, failed to appear or to be available for interviews/conferences, or otherwise failed to cooperate to the extent that it was not possible to resolve the complaint.
Failure to Locate - Though reasonable efforts were made to locate the Complainant, we were not able to do so.
Complaint Withdrawn - The PCHR has complied with the Complainant's request for withdrawal of the complaint referenced above.
Lack of Jurisdiction – The facts alleged in the complaint fail to state a claim over which the PCHR has enforcement authority because the Complainant waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file the complaint, the discrimination alleged occurred outside Philadelphia or for some other reason(s).
Satisfactorily Adjusted – The parties entered a settlement agreement that provides relief for the harm(s) alleged.
☐ Waiver to EEOC – The Complainant has requested that the case be waived to the Equal Employment Opportunity commission for further processing.
⊠Right to Sue Requested – Notice received that a right to sue was requested from the EEOC in this dual-filed matter. Complainant has the right to pursue this claim in the appropriate state or federal court.
Conciliation – After a finding of probable cause, the parties entered a conciliation agreement that provides full relief for the violations established by our investigation. The complaint will be closed accordingly, but the Conciliation Agreement will remain on file and subject to review by the Commission during the period it is in effect.
Other - Administrative Action. Staff Determination
No further action is required at this time.
On behalf of the Commission 12.24.20
Name Date